G. R. S. 58]

Previous references.

1896-
~~191~~ .
(L R)

File No. 295

Serial Nos. 1—9.

Later references.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 1—9

~~Part~~ B for August, 1901

SUBJECT.

Appeal of Subbiah, Archik of Kasiveswara at Attigerekoppa, Bowringpet Taluk, re the sale of certain lands in his possession.

Indexed

T. Subbarao

W D 1251—GPB—20,000—13-12-15

Despatch Journal No. 5374/1112 of 24th June 1895.

Sub

Mlly

L.R.
No. 2950 of 1895 Sir E. Satow
1-9

2 — 10

Petition No. 1852 of 11-12-96

Petitioner - Lachhia

Respondents - The Commissioner
of Kaira - Borsad Sub-
Dist.

Subject

Application for grant of
Land for Cremation Ground
in the Village Petlad.

The facts of the case are :-

On 9-5-95 Petitioners Lachhia
Devilal filed an application
for grant of land for Cremation
Ground to the Collector - Kaira
Dist. It is stated that the
land at the Cremation Ground
is very small and is not
sufficient for the Village and
it is difficult to the Villagers

Ho. 123/17-5-8.

1. The Captain of the Petitioner's Company was ordered to Fort Riley, Kansas, on the 15th day of September, 1917, for the purpose of attending the Officers' Training Camp at that place, and was relieved from duty with his Company on the 15th day of September, 1917, and was relieved from duty at Fort Riley, Kansas, on the 29th day of December, 1917, and was honorably discharged from the service of the United States on the 15th day of January, 1918.

2. The Captain of the Petitioner's Company was ordered to Camp Funston, Kansas, on the 15th day of September, 1917, for the purpose of attending the Officers' Training Camp at that place, and was relieved from duty with his Company on the 15th day of September, 1917, and was relieved from duty at Camp Funston, Kansas, on the 29th day of December, 1917, and was honorably discharged from the service of the United States on the 15th day of January, 1918.

3. The Petitioner further alleges that the First Lieutenant called to command the Petitioner's Company after the Captain was called into the service of the United States, and was relieved from duty with the Company by the Captain upon his return from the Officers' Training Camp, and that the First Lieutenant was duly appointed by the Governor of the State of Kansas for the performance of the duties of the Captain.

4.

4. The settlement submitted by the Sheristadar as referred to above furnishes the following particulars of the [illegible] lands of [illegible]

[illegible] No. 10 measuring 1/2 bigha dry

Do - 228	—	4/2 —	bet
Do - 241	—	1/2 —	do

bearing a jama of Rs. [illegible] and [illegible] a - 8 - 9. [illegible]

It also contains a remark by the Sheristadar to the following effect.

The above three nos. belong to the [illegible] of the [illegible] Settlement [illegible] and agreed with jama. The land known as [illegible] [illegible] by the petitioners is left unsettled and [illegible] assessed with jama [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] and is not borne in the account. It is learned in the [illegible] of the [illegible] [illegible] tenants which has been with [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] to [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] and [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] of the above village. [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] with them [illegible] -cerning the land.

5. [illegible] [illegible] and petitioners

Archibald Lawrie also appeared for the
Crown & the Petitioner & Mr. Mellon
was examined by Mr. Lawrie.

6. Mr. Mellon's evidence may be stated as
follows:-

I have been in the employment of the Petitioner for 20 years - Previous to that I was in the employment of Mr. Archibald Lawrie

12-8-95

Lot 16 - 2½ Acres
288 - 4½ - do
241 - ½ - do

for about 3 years. Mr. Lawrie is now dead. During my time I have valued Nos. 241, 288 & 16 on the Petitioner's property. I valued them in 1893 & 1894. I valued No. 288 - 4½ acres - at £7 - 8 - 0 per acre - £36 - 0 - 0
" 16 - 2½ " - £7 - 8 - 0 " " £18 - 15 - 0
" 241 - ½ " - £4 - 19 - 8 " " £2 - 9 - 8

In addition to that I had to pay
for crops. I valued the crops, &
they were sold by the Petitioner
after the valuation was made.
We valued them in the month of
October. We valued them at the
prices we thought they would
realise in the open market.
Before valuing the crops, I
valued the land. I valued it
as building land. I valued it
at £100 per acre. I valued
the land in the same way
in 1894. I valued it at £100
per acre. I valued the crops
in the same way in 1894.

Local cesses on the Survey of Settlement of Kasauli
Assessment on Private Lands 4-5-9
Inspection Cess ——— 1-1-2
Patwari's Fees ——— 2-4-7
8-5-7.

Measuring 2nd-25 and Inspection of Settlement of Ko. 54. Records of Survey Settlement & did not enter Mr. Land, which has expired, in the Records Kasauli Tehsil. & only entered Mr. Land between village accounts of Survey Ko. 1,57 and 257 Measuring 5-5 and Inspection of Ko. 15-12. Since Mr. Land K. 8-5-7 & Inspection of Ko. 15-12 Mr. Land in all Mr. Land are entered in village accounts of Settlement. Records Mr. Land Survey Settlement of Ko. 54 & which entered Settlement (Jeth) Records Copy of Ko. Settlement. Records Survey Settlement Mr. Land Survey Ko. 127, 128, 129, 130, 131 having been entered in Records of Mr. Land Survey Final Settlement Mr. Land & Records Survey, Measuring & Inspection of Ko. 127, 128, 129, 130, 131 which are proved not & Records Mr. Land, are in possession. Measurement & Inspection of Ko. 127, 128, 129, 130, 131 which are proved not & Records Mr. Land, are in possession. Measurement & Inspection of Ko. 127, 128, 129, 130, 131 which are proved not & Records Mr. Land, are in possession.

		a-g	ha. aa. d
S. No. 127	Survey	a-13	1-4-a
	Dry	5-9	9-8-a
	Wet	a-7	1-4-a
		5-31	12-a-a
S. No. 128	Dry	8-24	16-a-a
129	do	6-15	11-a-a
130	do	5-9	8-a-a
131	Wet	1-11	7-a-a
		27-25	54-a-a

31-8-95

7 Patwari's Fees Rates:-

According to Kasauli Kanungo's Circular No. 1 dated 1st April 1895 Patwari's Fees Rates are as follows:-

in the village. I have seen in Sergeant
Whitcot's hand some papers subscribed
Lewis, i.e. so as to pass by signing it
Lewis. I do suppose and understand the
contrary. Mr. Sergeant Whitcot
carried the papers which he saw
by Sergeant Newman to Lieutenant Lewis
to be perused into Mr. Sergeant Whitcot's
chamber. Mr. Sergeant Whitcot drew
the bill which he delivered to Lewis
and the said Lewis delivered
for which Lewis did give him
four pence. But what he gave
Lewis for the bill I cannot
tell. Sergeant Whitcot
carried the papers into Mr. Sergeant
Whitcot's chamber where Lewis
to Lewis Lieutenant. Mr. Sergeant
when I was present I did not see
Lewis use any persuasions with Sergeant
Whitcot. Lewis did not
to Lewis Lieutenant Sergeant Whitcot
Lewis Lieutenant. Lewis
Lewis for Sergeant Whitcot
Lewis Lieutenant

8

† appeared to me
herein -

No. 219/51-A-28-

No. 501 5/12-10-38

10

No. 1187 of 26-10-95

10. The Commissioner, Kelly Division, has forwarded a Revenue Patrol Return for the week ending 21st October, 1895, showing the number of persons employed, and the number of days they were employed, and the amount of wages paid to them, and the number of days they were employed, and the amount of wages paid to them, and the number of days they were employed, and the amount of wages paid to them.

No. 1353 of 28-10-95

11. The Inspector of Police, Kelly Division, has forwarded a Return showing the number of persons employed, and the number of days they were employed, and the amount of wages paid to them, and the number of days they were employed, and the amount of wages paid to them, and the number of days they were employed, and the amount of wages paid to them.

No. 1222 of 14-3-96

12. The Inspector of Police, Kelly Division, has forwarded a Return showing the number of persons employed, and the number of days they were employed, and the amount of wages paid to them, and the number of days they were employed, and the amount of wages paid to them, and the number of days they were employed, and the amount of wages paid to them.

+ The Return is the only Return forwarded by the Inspector of Police

13. On 17-3-96 the Inspector of Police, Kelly Division, has forwarded a Return showing the number of persons employed, and the number of days they were employed, and the amount of wages paid to them, and the number of days they were employed, and the amount of wages paid to them, and the number of days they were employed, and the amount of wages paid to them.

from Land now belonging to Queen
from time immemorial - that the Land
had been recovered in the Guildhall of
the Borough that the Statement of the Queen
Counsel in the Suggestion of the Petitioners
at the Bar of the House of Commons might
be dealt with in respect of the Statement
which was prepared the Report Statement
and the Land had been granted therein -

14. On receipt of the Statement from the Queen Counsel the Dy. Town Clerk sent the following letter to Messrs [illegible] 1st June 1934 - 3-35

Messrs [illegible] 2nd June 1934 - 3-35

The Statement in regard which we had
written at the time of the Statement of the Claim
of Municipal Corporation Council was forwarded.
On the 6th June last. The Statement
was forwarded to the Guildhall Records
and that the Council Dr. Land should
be brought before Counsel [illegible]
[illegible] Mr. Bradley. The said Statement
was signed by Counsel and Handed
Municipal - The Proceedings heard
before that Court on 27th 28th 29th 30th
Counsel for the Queen - Mr. Hughes
Court. and Jury not according to Law for
Kandyan when Statements are received
therefor. The Queen Counsel informed
that he should not Enter upon More Land
and Jury on Each Occasion [illegible]

12 — of the above case.

The Dy. Commr. also called for information on the following points:-

Who ordered the Govt. Land in the Gudidar Satukhi? Who are the Amil officials that conspired and effected it? Where are those officials? Their names should be [illegible]. What is the amount of loss incurred by Govt. on account of the [illegible] of the land since the Settlement? Why should not the said amount be recovered from officials who committed the mistake and so from the Archak? His views should be intimated in the matter. If the officials who have effected the Gudidar Satukhi are [illegible] their explanations should be obtained and submitted.

No. [illegible, struck through]
No. 1594 of 13-4-96

The Amildar after giving [illegible] to the Sheristadar about the land under dispute and an endorsement to the petitioner [illegible] obtained the Gudidar Satukhi and caused Shanbhog Venkanna and Ramanna of Koppa and [illegible] respectively to be examined.

1-6-96

16 - Venkanna deposed to the following effect:

There is no [illegible] S. Nos. 27, 57 which are being enjoyed as Inam by the Archak of Kashi Visveswaraswami. It is a Inam (tuble land) and used to be called Maleyar Inam. It has been in the enjoyment of Archak Subbarayar since the

grand father's time. This land was being
Survey numberless and according to Enjoy-
-ment books as Inam and included in
the Jodidar Pahalke. It is not included
this area ([illegible]).
The fact that this land was being enjoyed
by the Archak as Devadaye (without any
reference or authority) was under correspond-
-ence since Bahudhanya and proceedings
also took place during the time of late Amildar
Venkatappa. This I have heard. I have
been conducting the duties of the temple
for the last 30 or 40 years. Previously the
Ramanna of Amirenahalli and Subbanna
of Koppa conducted the duties for some
time. This fact may be ascertained
from them. I have been conducting the
duties since Chitrabhanu. The Archak
has been enjoying the land since then.
I have signed the Jodidar Pahalke.

17. Ramanna deposed that:- 1-0-90

The land known as Sanduka Inam
now S. No. 127/151 in the Enjoyment
of the Archak of Kasivisvanathaswami
of Koppa has been in his Enjoyment
since his father Annaiah's time. I took
charge of the Shanbhogship of this village in
the year Dhatu. As soon as I became
aware that the land was in the Enjoyment
of the Archak as Devadaye, I reported to
the Taluk. On this proceedings were taken

14

and the Mission should be established and the Rev. Jones, Rev. Hodgkinson and Rev. Cameron should be appointed the Chairman of the Mission. Mr. Hodgkinson of Bathurst was present by invitation and addressed the Meeting. Moved and seconded by Mr. Hodgkinson that the Meeting do now adjourn to meet at this place tomorrow - Motion was carried unanimously.

No. 21 15-7-96

15. The Meeting was duly opened by prayer. Read and confirmed the proceedings of the last Meeting. The proceedings adopted at the last Meeting were read and explained by the Chairman. Mr. Hodgkinson addressed the Meeting on the subject of Native Education and the Mission to the Aborigines. Moved and seconded that the Rev. Hodgkinson be requested to prepare a Memorial to the Government on the subject of Native Education and the Mission to the Aborigines.

No. 22 16-7-96

16. Mr. Hodgkinson addressed the Meeting on the subject of Missionary Operations - Moved and seconded that the Rev. Hodgkinson be requested to prepare a Memorial to the Church Missionary Society on the subject of Missionary Operations in the Interior.

15-10-96

20 - Mr. Hodgkinson explained that he was requested from Archdeacon Hodgkinson to bring before the Meeting the Resolutions passed at the Meeting held at Bathurst on the 15th October last - that the Archdeacon had received a letter from the Secretary of the Church Missionary Society in London stating that the Committee had received a letter from the Rev. Hodgkinson and Rev. Cameron

he had time only to check pursuit of
the steamship seemed perplexed by the
wind shooting and surprised by the
sudden squall - but he did not slacken
but came abreast the stern of the steam
of Kaiserwerth Dream and that he might
be pressed if the wind was favourable after.

21 - The Admiralty Board explained their 21-ii-96
Effect to the proposed change of the Government
- bel-Zadok about Steamers and that
a the Survey Settlement would take
place at the time he signed and submitted
the foreign Rates had prepared by the Hamburg,
and Bremen by the Government and the Shipowners

22 - In Mr. Hay Jones Despatch No. 710 of 15-ii-96
to the following effect:-
It is the duty of the Inspector-
-general Police Officials in the Steam
Register and the Returns and it is the
duty of the Government to prosecute
offenders and Inspectors
-ship. Medical officials are merely employed
for this - the Government is desirous
-ous that the Hamburg Regulations be extended.
She does not propose to issue Certificate after the
Accident, who had been in the Employment
of the Line, but will refer them
to Authorities who except the inspection of
the Hamburg. The Hamburg Authorities
fixed an amount equal to one-fourth
of the Police Inspection Fees to be paid
as if no Police Inspection was [illegible]

on his donee Inam lands - the amount
should soon be recovered, credited and reported.
There is no risk the patta holders or the
dumbdar to be so lost in the matter.

23 - Several bids having been received
for these lands from the margin indicated
individuals the dumbdar offered to hold
premium pending their sale to 10-5-96 and
then adjourned it to 23-5-96 when the
lands were knocked down by the Shekdar
who conducted the sale to one Isabadin
Pillai for the marginally noted sum on
the condition that the purchaser should
pay their Kandayam for Inamndars

1. Arakandi Pachi 27-4-96
2. Khandhagramam 4-96

24 - In the meanwhile, i.e., on 28-4-96
peti. Pachi K Sukkia Satmilla a letter
to the Dy. Com to the effect that the said
Devaswom lands had been in the hereditary
enjoyment of the family as Inam - that
they had not been enjoying the land as Inam
uninterruptedly - that this was apparent
from the Pattah and other accounts - that
Inam was being recovered from them
on account of the land - that he had pre-
-ferred an appeal to the Dewan in this
matter - that the date of hearing had not
been fixed - that he learnt that the land
had been sold by the Shekdar on the 23rd
current and praying that the sale pro-
-ceedings might be stayed pending disposal
of his appeal and that the dumbdar might
be directed to hold [illegible]

S. No. 127 for Rs.	3-0-0
128	1-8-0
129	1-8-0
130	1-8-0
131	2-8-0
	10-0-0

into the matter and not to disturb his possession of the land till the final settlement of the matter.

25. The Amildar to whom the above petition was forwarded for report as to whether the land had been sold, reported to the effect that the lands S. Nos. 127 to 131 had been sold and knocked down to one Srikantan Prabhu for Rs. 101 on condition of his paying their Kandayam of Rs. 54/- for Devaswam - that one Fathulla Khan had submitted a petition stating that he would pay Rs. 20 more than the above amount - that the defendant had submitted petitions praying that as the lands had been in his enjoyment for a long time the same might be confirmed to him on Kanam and that the sale should not be confirmed pending settlement of the matter and that he might be instructed as to how he should proceed in the matter. — No. 645 of 20-6-96 — No. 12 of July 96 — of 29-6-96

26. On 15-8-96 the Dy. Peishkar having ordered that the lands should be resold and that the petitioner should be informed that he might be present at the sale and bid for them - the Amildar issued a fresh notification, which was also served on the petitioner, posting the resale to 5-9-96 when the lands were sold, like [illegible], by the Sheristadar. — No. 188 of 15-8-96

No. 127	for Rs. 35	to	Chandrasekhara Ramanna
128	101		Fatteh Khan
129	26		Pallappa
130	60		do.
131	46		do.

27. On 8-9-96 and 25-9-96 the petitioner submitted petitions praying that the above lands might be given to him for Kandayam without sale in consideration of the same having been in his enjoyment

18

of his family hereditary Estate as had been done in Limitations.

no. 26 of 12-9-96

28- It shall be in the discretion of the Collector to grant the applicant as Patidar the facility to pay 25 percent of the Land Assessment of Survey No. 128 as it was fixed and directed, vide Annexure for Rs. 28/- and to grant the Amilbar proceedings for the instalment and Collection proceedings of the said Patidar for the Collection of the Balance of the Assessment of the land

no. 28 of 7-11-96

of 11-9-96

29. The Limitations of the Act does not bar the applicant that Patidar is not entitled to the Survey No. 128 for the Survey of Rs. 85 for Survey No. 127 and 128 in case he pays 25% of the said amount of Rs. 28/- and the Certificate for the Survey No. 130, Block 125 has been cancelled and Separate Certificate for the Survey No. 130 has been granted in favour of the Patidar as he has paid the Land Assessment in full.

30- On 11-11-96, when it was pointed to the Collector, Survey No. 127 and 128 were fixed by the Collector and Kaveri Patidar to Assessment Proceedings

and Pleaded by s. 32 and 25, respectively.
The Petitioners, Lois M. Tolliver et al
in fact struck down Parish Ordinance
31. In the Petition there forgotten
the appeal date of Gen. Mo called for
the Parish Administration by the
Council, and the Dy. Comm. granted
R. 30 for the Petitioners time
Council decided in which the
Petition decided in favor of the
Petitioners

No. 64,316-12-96

No. 9,849-6-96

32. On 11-12-96 Petitioners Counsel
filed, requesting appeal be set aside.

Big Coal Bay is no. 83 and 84 Lands
map set in 1 and 22 of the Federal
Judicial Dist. Comm. Area are
the Areas Entitled to Participation
Court at Capital. Similarly the
Main Trans Koosa in Magistrate Judicial
Jud. Municipality Jurisdiction Dist. to
Municipal Area in the said Village
in addition to Area other Dep. Comm. in
Above Municipality Area. Despite
fact the Dy. Comm. Ordinance Petition
Main Court Ord. Petitioners Petitioned
and the Magistrate Area Petitioned
Chief of the Petitioners. Original
the Petitioners Petitioned Petitioners
Entered to Court. It is also Petitioners
the Petitioners Main Court Petitioners
Petitioners in the Petitioners Petitioners
the Above Petitioners Petitioners
Petitioners Petitioners Petitioners

20

Ciphers pertaining to the Jail - Every Sheriff is hereby required from time to time and at all times hereafter to keep the Common Jail of the County in which he shall be Sheriff in proper repair and fit for the reception and safe keeping of Prisoners committed thereto by lawful authority and from time to time and at all times hereafter to deliver the Jail of the said County with the Prisoners (if any) therein to the Sheriff who shall succeed him in the said Office of Sheriff.

And be it further enacted by the authority aforesaid that every Sheriff who shall neglect to keep the Jail of the County for which he shall be Sheriff in proper repair and fit for the reception and safe keeping of Prisoners committed thereto by lawful authority or who shall refuse or neglect to deliver the Jail of the said County with the Prisoners (if any) therein to the Sheriff who shall succeed him in the said Office of Sheriff shall forfeit and pay the sum of Five Pounds for every such offence to be recovered by any person who will sue for the same by Action of Debt Bill Plaint or Information in any of His Majesty's Courts of Record within this Province in which no Essoign Protection or Wager of Law shall be allowed nor more than one Imparlance and the Moiety thereof when recovered shall be paid to the Treasurer of the County for the use of the County and the other Moiety shall be paid to the Person who will sue for the same.

33. And be it further enacted by the authority aforesaid that every Sheriff who shall neglect or refuse to execute any Writ or Process directed to him or to his Deputy or Deputies lawfully authorized to execute the same and to him shewn or delivered or left at his usual place of abode or at the usual place of abode of his Deputy or Deputies or at his Office for the execution thereof by the space of Six hours after the same shall be received by him or left as aforesaid and shall not make due return of such Writ or Process according to the command thereof shall forfeit and pay the sum of Five Pounds for every such offence to be recovered by any person who will sue for the same by Action of Debt Bill Plaint or Information in any of His Majesty's Courts of Record within this Province in which no Essoign Protection or Wager of Law shall be allowed nor more than one Imparlance and the Moiety thereof when recovered shall be paid to the Treasurer of the County for the use of the County and the other Moiety shall be paid to the Person who will sue for the same.

O. in Co. Pet 9 of 13-12-26

Pro. 7 Project-12-26.

Pro. 468 of 10-11-26

Clerk

that neither the Jari nor cist was being
recovered on the land previous to survey.
that after Survey when assessment was
fixed on the land cist on the amount
of assessment @ as. 1/- per paragee used
to be recovered from the year [illegible]
up to the year [illegible]. that though
the petitioner's enjoyment of the land is a
fact, yet his objections are of no avail
since these lands are distinctly clearly
declared as being [illegible]. that his enjoy-
-ment thereof subsequent to survey with-
-out paying the Government [illegible] is
-[illegible] an encroachment.
that under the circumstances the petitioner
will have no [illegible] therein.
and that consequently the sale ought
be confirmed.

34. The Dy. Commr. in reporting the facts of the case states that the plea of long enjoy-
-ment of the land in question is not
supported by documentary evidence. No. 5574/1112 of 24-6-99.

35. The Inam register submitted by
the Inam Supdt. in compliance with
this office requisition furnishes the
following particulars. No. 8 of 31-7-99. No. 575/C.40 of 19-7-99.

The Inam is named in the register of 1863
as [illegible] and styled as [illegible].
Its extent as per Jari account of 1861 is

	Kolagas	Gundas	
Dry	2	8	= 1.65 acres
Wet	5	4	= .57 do
Total	7	8	= 2.16 do

V?

2½ apiement à 3 piastres ps. 8-11-7.

2½ pouces à 5 piastres ps. 1-7-3

Ne Extant of the Quantity of 1853 pieces as above and as of the Quantity of Wood Remaining and to Extant viz

	Caliber	Feet
Deg -	5 —	5 3/4
Red -	8 —	11/2

It is also proper to Remark to Gentlemen Employed at the Saw Mill Establishment and that from the Accounts to year applied Since the Saw Mill Came 1846.

36 Saw Mill Pieces Mill as Extant the following Quantity which Furnishes the following Particulars since the Saw

Naval Establishment

Pieces		Extant		Quantity		Applied
		No.	a-g			
241	11-8-½					
228	4½			5 pieces	a-7 —	a-4-a
106	2½			57 Red	1-5 —	7-8-a
	2-11-7			258 Deg	4-19 —	8-a-a
					5-31 —	18-12-a

Sawn

Not Issued in the Pieces Accounts

127	Deg	5-9 —	9-8-a
	Red	2-9 —	3-4-a
	Pieces	2-15 —	3-4-a
128	Deg	8-36 —	16-a-a
129	do	6-18 —	11-a-a
130	do	5-9 —	8-a-a
131	Red	1-11 —	7-a-a
Total		27-25 —	56-a-a

Remainder called Quantity the Saw Mill and Stock

Pënë Pallati

	a	q
Nr. Sh. 258	4	19
57	1	5
1	a	7
127	5	19 dëm.
a	2	kel.
a	13	pemë
128	8	36
129	6	18
130	5	9
131	1	11

Sa sipas fletë-Dorës Dorës

Sa të regjistruar të kadastrës

Sa të Regjistruar të Kadastrës

Lavdësi Pënë Pallatka

	a	q	Rs.	as.	P.	
Nr. Sh.	a	2 (7)	a	4	a	Lëndë
57	1	5	7	8	a	do
258	4	19	8	a	a	do
127	5	31	12	a	a	do
128	8	36	15	a	a	do
129	6	18	11	a	a	do
130	5	9	8	a	a	do
131	1	11	7	a	a	do

Qëndrat Rejsisë

Se Dorëzuar Dorëzuar të Ri të

Kadastrës të Rë Regjistruar të Lëndëve Pëllë

Kadastrës. Të Ekstat si

	a	cat
Dry	1	65
Wet	a	51
Total	2	16

Cari të Apelantëi

Rs. 8-11-7

Bll 16/8/99.

24

On the appeal petition presented by the petitioner his Lordship has fixed the following issues on 11-12-96.

"The petitioner ought not to be entitled in this proceeding to the land claimed in the plaint for a declaration of title in the plaintiff and consequent recovery of possession. Whether the petitioner is entitled to maintain this proceeding. Costs and other reliefs prayed".

(36) The pet± claims as Inam 5 Survey nos (127-131) measuring in all 27-25 a assessed at Rs 54.

The pet± admits that the lands in Question were not recognised as Inam at the Inam Settlement and attributes the omission & the Mrs & absence of his father from the village at the time of the Inam settlement

But from the Inam supt's docket dt 19/9/99, it appears that at the Inam Settlement for 1868, a Statement was given by

the Archbishop of the Day
and that it is therefore
useless for the petrs who
is his successor in office
to refuse to be bound by
his predecessor's acts

(37) The only question therefore
is whether, as observed
by the Lord Councillor on the
petn, the petrs may
not be allowed to retain
the 5 shops on assessment,
as he has apparently
been in poss[n] thereof
for some years. The
Lord Comrs says that the plea
of long enjoyment is not
supported by documentary evidence
but the Shanbogh has deposed
that in 1895 the petrs
enjoyment was 12 years &
The Shanbogh's evidence

76

is perhaps worthless as
the unauthorized enjoyment
of the land as Inam was
undoubtedly due & his
Conveyance as well as
& the Conveyance of
the Shekdar.

The records show that the
Shekdar took more than
5 years & report on
a petn from the appli-
claiming the lands in
question as Inam; and
that no note has been
taken of this delay

(38) The Dy Comr reports that
out of the 5 Sns, 3 have
been Sold & have passed into
the Khatas of others since 1896-97
and that the sale of the 2 other Sns

Requires Confirmation

This letter delay could have been avoided had the Optometrist submitted his report earlier. As it is, he has taken 3½ years to submit his report.

29/9/99

If the details of the remaining two members have not been confirmed they may be legally removed from the Register.

28/9/99

Dr Pro-Chancellor
Pro-Chancellor
NC
15/8/01

Minto cont.

The above order directing
the sale of the remain
=ing two numbers has not
been confirmed they may be
kept with the Petr on account
assessment ~~allowed~~
was communicated to the
Petr by Govt on Govt order
No 423 / P 7 10-01 dated 24-8-01 - Petr
Pillaji a then appealed to
Govt against the Dy Comrs order
cancelling the sale of Nos
127 & 128 with a request that
appellant Subbiah archik
be not given possession of
those lands till his appeal
was disposed of. -
on this the Dy Comr was
asked to report as to
whether the order under
appeal was passed in accord
=ance with Govt order No. 423 / P 7 10-01
dy 24-8-01 and if so what
grievance the Petr has in
the matter, the lands not
having been placed in
his possession & the hur=
=chase money having been
or about to be refunded. -
In

In reply to the above the Court states that S. Nos. 127, 128 and 129, 130 & 131, called Mahadeva Devar lands, which were surreptitiously enjoyed by the archik of the Kasivisweswara Temple as Inam attached to that Temple were sold under the Sarkaval rules and the last three numbers were purchased & confirmed to the Petr in 1896-97. The other two numbers viz 127 and 128 were also purchased by D. Nemichandra & Pillamma respectively. But the sales were not confirmed as the matter was under correspondence since archik subbaiah appealed to Govt against the sale.

The lands have in accordance with Govt Proc. No. 423 P.T. 18-01 dated 24 Aug 01, been put in possession of archik subbaiah. Petr Pillamma applied to the Govt for confirmation

confirmation of the
sale and his prayer
was not granted.

As the amount de-
posited by the petitioner
in connection with the
sale of S. number 127 & 128
was credited to Govt.
order has been issued
to the Collector to sub-
mit refund bill for
the same - With this
the Verification papers have
been submitted.

The Govt's report
is not quite correct.
on the petn of 4/4/01
from Pallanna Complaining
that the sale of S No 128
has not been Confirmed,
although the sale took
place in 1896, the Govt
Govt on the Same
date & instead calling

per records, Confirmed this way
the date remarking only a
that there was gross Conditional
delay (S no 7/1 Ver Records) Confn.

Subsequent on the
receipt of the Sol.
pet^r^ d 24/8/01 (S no 10, open file)
the Decree Cancelled on 13/11/01
his premises ade ds having
been passed by one-sided
(S no 5/12 Ver Records)

It is on this "Straight"
that the pet^r^ Pillamma
relies (vide pet^r^ d 2/4/02 : S no 15 open file)
Pillamma. The above not
appear to have any valid
~~Pet~~ grievance 8/7/02

Reject & Dismiss
no regular Confirmation
& Pet^r^ Can have no grievance.

1896

No. 1852

Name of Petitioner Subbia - Vinekayan
Kuttayan alias Sivasamy

Village Allikkuthoppu Taluk

Taluk Koilpatti

District Salem

Date of Arzi 11 - 12 - 96

Date of Receipt 12 - 12 - 96

Date of Disposal

No. of Enclosure 1

ABSTRACT.

Petn for Lease of Quartz Reefs in Survey No. 414 & 235 and the Channel Portion called Mukkai Odai in the Inam Land granted for constructing the works of Kadalgudi Channel - that there are the Quartz Reefs containing Copper & Iron pyrites but they could not be worked profitably on account of the want of Capital - that the Petr is willing to pay the Rent & Royalty fixed by the Govt. and to execute the Lease Deed in the form prescribed - that the Petr has not yet obtained the Collr's Licence to mine - that the Petr has deposited Rs. 25 as Security Deposit - that the Petr is a Native of Allikkuthoppu and is a Cultivator - that he has been residing in the village for the last 5 years - that he has no other means of livelihood - that he is in possession of the Land - that he has not been convicted of any offence - that he is not a Minor - that he is not a Pleader - that he is not in the employ of Government - that he is not a Contractor - that he is not a Revenue Officer - that he is not a Police Officer - that he is not a Forest Officer - that he is not a Salt Officer - that he is not a Customs Officer - that he is not a Railway Officer - that he is not a Postal Officer - that he is not a Telegraph Officer - that he is not a Telephone Officer - that he is not a Wireless Officer - that he is not a Radio Officer - that he is not a Television Officer - that he is not a Satellite Officer - that he is not a Space Officer - that he is not a Moon Officer - that he is not a Mars Officer - that he is not a Venus Officer - that he is not a Jupiter Officer - that he is not a Saturn Officer - that he is not a Uranus Officer - that he is not a Neptune Officer - that he is not a Pluto Officer - that he is not a Sun Officer - that he is not a Star Officer - that he is not a Planet Officer - that he is not a Comet Officer - that he is not a Meteor Officer - that he is not a Satellite Officer - that he is not a Space Officer - that he is not a Moon Officer - that he is not a Mars Officer - that he is not a Venus Officer - that he is not a Jupiter Officer - that he is not a Saturn Officer - that he is not a Uranus Officer - that he is not a Neptune Officer - that he is not a Pluto Officer - that he is not a Sun Officer - that he is not a Star Officer - that he is not a Planet Officer - that he is not a Comet Officer - that he is not a Meteor Officer

Suid

Stamps difficient

Petition by more than 5 months
The amount endorsement
confirming the 2nd Commr's
order is dated 2/4/96
and the Petn is dated
dated 11/12/96
Petr says that he was
engaged in mining
Petrs in the interval
Petr was poor a very
encouragement then

Sd/- [illegible]
Collector

15/12

Rs 6 Soon
15/12

Webster
Head Draftsman
Recommended
Postponement
Petitioner to
Appear in person
and produce
Notice of Publication
Received
Mercantile
Draftsman

18/12/96

Draft on
19/12/96

On 5th May 1889 you submitted a petition praying that as the land known as Kasbadu Dinne had been enjoyed as Inam till now on account of Kandanaru Devara ~~[illegible]~~ old village the same might be confirmed to the Deity. After necessary inspection and enquiry a report was submitted to the District in the matter. In reply Huzur No. 887 of 29-8-96 has been received stating that the original statement which the Inam [illegible] had caused to be produced during the Inam Enquiry, has gone through - that in it only land bearing Nos. 128 and 131 mentioned in the District register have been entered but not the land known as Kasbadu Dinne which has been enjoyed without any reference - that the above statement bears the signature of [illegible] and Chanbhog [illegible] - that no reference has been produced to show that S. Nos. 127, 129, 130 & 131 [illegible] of No. 5 [illegible] are Inam - that consequently the said land should be resumed & [illegible] for [illegible] - and that an endorsement should be given to the petitioners informing him that he should not enter upon the land - [illegible] require that you should not enter upon the land from this date.

The petitioner says :-

Though he submitted several petitions in the matter subsequently to the [illegible] District ?

MYSORE DEWAN'S OFFICE.

PETITION DEPARTMENT.

OFFICIAL MEMORANDUM.

The accompanying Appeal Petition

Registered No. 1352 dated 11th Dec 1896

received at the Dewan's Office from Subba
Arehik Jaga Gowda
alias Chikkanna &
Atte Gowda Kotha Gowda
Patel & Kolar Taluk
objecting against the
Order No. 2957 of 29-9-96
of the Deputy Commissioner
Kolar directing the resumption
of certain lands in Sy. No.

is forwarded, together with its one
enclosure, to the Deputy Commissioner
of the Kolar District
for submission of the entire proceedings
connected with the case, together with
a report on the contentions in the
said Petition.

2. The petition with its enclosure
should be returned.

By order,

Chief Secretary.

BANGALORE,
17th Dec 1896.

M.G.C.P.B.—25-4-95—1,0

S 107 (4)

Gen. 8443
R.D. 2478
DEWAS - 1899

FROM The Deputy Commissioner
R.D. Sholapur District

TO The Secretary to the Govt of Bijapur
Revenue & Genl Departments

Dated 26th June

Despatched 4 July 1899.

Received

General No. 5374

No. 1112

Enclosure No. One file of papers

Reporting on the petition of Subhan Sheikh of Kasi—Inamdaran also Lingayat of Attigeri Hobli, Bijapur Taluk.

Pet. R.
5.7.99
Rec'd
6/7

Gen. Recd
Please put up reps.

AK
7.7.99

The papers put up.

Sub'd
10.7.99

Please correction in the account took place after mine Plan 2 years from date of settlement — Instead of 6 Rs. This is prima facie wrong. 14 A precis 29 10 6 18 3 should be made & Call for a copy of the Settlement Report relating to the Inam of Kasi Visvanathram temple in Koppa village in Bijapur Taluk

Ry 17/7/99

Bijapur
Entered
Gen 17.7.99

Inam Section dept No 8 G. 31.7.99 put up
with Mr Krishnaswamy's precis.
4.8.99.

Receipted
4/8/99.

Sub'd with precis.

MM
17.8.99 Put up a copy of GO No 5764 of 80
21/8/80 (re Desai Kulkarni menv Inam)

Ry 24/8/99

Gen

To Genl. for Kind compliance

AK
24/8/99 The above Numbers are not printed on any letters issued in the RT 7583-99

24/8/99 RB 20/8/99

*If pre-written copies of the order are not ready | Please put up the file

AK
25/8/99 RT 7583-99

20/8/99 RB 26/8/99

1. The undersigned natives of Savaii in Kapiai Village on the Ramsingfat Debate and attached to the Kaoi Seoseuau Savaii Samoa for the pur-
-pose of enrolling in the duty.

2 In the petition of the complainant to the Consular Court Savaii Samoa known as Mataia Aiono given in the instrument here set out in the name of the Samoan Satte-
-ment, through professed and engaged by the institution given in Samoa time, Utter Samo correspondence states as certained that the Samoa known as Mataia Aiono was not known and ascertained

a.s

Ao ier vinay
Saiuey ire
1

	M.	S.	Assessment
	0.	4	3-4
	1-	3	7-8
	4.	13	8-0
25	5.	31	15-12

Total

Ao ier Sapo ire W. P.
Sio 24 . . 3-0-8
228 . . 3-4-8
106 . . 3-2-8
Total 3. 7. 8.

Survey and Settlement in favour of the
petitioners, they also appear
to have been entitled to Such
in Lavani Pilgrim Patrick and
in consequence, the Collector
continued charging only Rent
on the land along with the
Jodi on the 3 main Survey nos
referred to as per Enquiry from
Vijayadi 1890-91 the year in
which Settlement took place
upto 1895-96.

5 The Survey Superintendent
to whom reference was made
in the matter conducted the
mistake in the accounts.

6 A reference was also
made to the Assn Superin-
tendent in order to know
whether Officials were then
aware of the mistake in the

Statement

Ex. C on Survey No. 1,5,3+2,5,8 — 5-13-9
for Survey No. — do — 2-14-7
— 3rd Mutta Pime Land Survey No. 3-8-0
from 127 of 131 1-1-3
Grazing Land - 8-5-7

disposal.

I will be observed from the above that the idea of long enjoyment of the lands in question is not sustained by documentary evidence.

The Record contained in official form [illegible] with the Case and Submissions herewith.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obt. Servant,

[signature]

for Registrar of the Supreme Court

Fiji Islands

Suva

12

MYSORE DEVELOPMENT
DEPARTMENT
RECD. NO. 1852 R
DATE 12-12-94

(5)

1899

From
The Secretary to the Govt of Madras
General Rev. Department

To
The Superintendent of
Inam Settlements in
Mysore. Bangalore

Dated 19th

Despatched July/99.

Received

General No. 5851
P. No. 40

Enclosure No.

Section L. R.
No. 295 of 1896
Encl. No. 4

Has the honor to request that
he will be so good as to furnish
for reference copy of
this office, with the Inam
Settlement Register relating
to the Inam of Kasi Vishweswara
Swamy temple in Koppa
village, Bowringpet Taluk.

By Order

Dft:
by
11.7.99

for Secretary

15 (6)

712
Pet 42
1899-1900

From

The Superintendent of
Inam Settlements in Mysore,
Bangalore.

To

The Secretary to the
Government of Mysore.

General and Revenue Department.

Section L.R.
File No 295 of 1896
Serial No 3

Dated 31st July 1899
Despatched, 1st August "
Received

No. 8 of 1899-1900

Enclosure No. one.

With reference to Govt. Order No. 5 dated 5th Instant. Pet. 40

I am requested in the above, I have the honour to forward herewith, a copy of the Inam Settlement Register relating to the Inam of Kasi Viswesvara Swami temple in Kaggalipura village, Channapatna Taluk.

Supdt of Inam Settlements

Peter
2.8.99

Read 3/8.
Reply put up
3/8/99

Register sheet No 215

Extract

Village Rajpur

Summary = Entries

Total = Nacres.

Copy of Register

Register of Inams in the Village of Kuppur in the

CLASS, EXTENT AND VALUE OF INAM.

Number of case or entire holding under one grant in this village.	General class to which the Inam belongs.	Survey No. and name of field or fields comprised in the grant. Dry, wet or garden.	Extent. Local measure. Even where the local measure is in acres, goontas, and annas, it is to be entered in this column.	Extent. Measure in acres and their fractions in decima's.
1	2	3	4	5
(326) Little Gudi No. 622			Under Jari account 0,301	
1	Pandaya	Dry	0 - 2 - 3	1.65
		Wet	0 - 5 - 0	0.51
			0 - 7 - 8	2.16

D
Ex. 507 8

Sammat of Kadiri **in the Talook of** Kadiri.

		DESCRIPTION, TENURE AND	
Old assessment.	Survey assessment.	Description of Inam.	
Where no survey has been made and no assessment fixed by Government, the cess paid by the ryots to the inamdar, or the average assessment of similar Government land, should be entered in column 7.		If for service, it is to be stated whether the service is continued. If for tanks, buildings, &c., whether they are efficiently kept up. Hereditary or for life.	Whether free of tax, &c., or liable to quit rent, *i. e.* jodi, &c., Amount of latter to be entered.
6	7	8	9
V 3 – 0 – 0 or Rs 8 – 11 – 7	— " —	For the worship of the Pagoda of Sri Lakshmi Narasimha Swami alias Kasinayakuvaru Swami in this Village. The Pagoda is in good order.	After giving account jodi V 0 – 5 – 0 or Rs 1 – 7 – 3 Now collected from. From the accounts no jodi appears to have been collected since 1846.

DOCUMENT IN SUPPORT OF THE INAM.

By whom granted and in what year.	Written instruments in support of the claim, original sannad, all miscellaneous documents, and other proofs of long possession. In this column it should be stated whether the Inam is held with or without grant, whether the grant is produced or lost, &c., and the general specification of terms of all documents produced should be given.
10	11

NAME AND RELATIONSHIP OF ORIGINAL GRANTEE AND

Name of original grantee.	Name entered in the register prepared by Poorniah up to 1810.	Name entered in the accounts subsequent to 1810.
12	13	14
	In 1801 Somasundra Dry - 0 - 2 - 5 Wet - 0 - 5 - 0	In 1859 Kasisundaram Dry 0 - 5 - 5 31/4 Wet 0 - 8 - 11 1/2

of the

OF SUBSEQUENT AND PRESENT HOLDERS.

Particulars regarding present owner. If the Inam has been subdivided, the name, &c., of each sharer should be entered in the columns.

Residence, name, and age, &c.	Relation to original grantee or subsequent registered holders.	Surviving heirs of the present incumbent.	If unconnected with the original holders, possession how obtained, and in what year.
15	16	17	18
Koppa Sowmeswara alias Kasivisweswara Swami Worshipper Muniswamyya.			

4

Division.

LENGTH OF POSSESSION.

Abstract of the orders already issued by the Commissioner or the Superintendent of the Division on lapses regarding validity or excess of the Inam. Assistant's opinion and recommendation.	Review by the Special Assistant and confirmation by the Inam Commissioner.
19	20

To be confirmed under Rule I

(Signed) C. V. Blair
Asst Superintendent
24-11-68.

To be confirmed free of tax.

(Signed) B. Gopalrao
Spl. Assistant.
4-12-68.

Confirmed free of tax

(Signed) J. Clerk
Inam Commissioner
5th December 1868.

Compd

"Copy"

Inam Supt's Office
Bangalore Dated
31st July 1871

Supt. of Inam Settlement

(7) Draft

No. 2292 Dated 9/12/99.

From the Secretary of Famine Settlement to Govt.

Section L-R
No. 2957 of 1896
Serial No. 6

Sir,

I acknowledge receipt of your docket No. S S 31/7/99 submitting a copy of the Settlement Report relating to the Famine of Kasi Viswaraswara Swami temple in Koppa, Bowringpet Taluk, I am directed to forward herewith a file of papers relating to the claim preferred by the present trustee of the temple to hold as Famine certain lands which were not resumed as such at the Famine Settlement.

2. It will be observed from

1 a copy of the Govt's report together with

These papers that a Statement was given at the time of the Inam settlement by the Shanbhog & one Muchi Swamy

I am enquire who the Muchiswamy is & whether the archak at the time of Settlement gave any Statement

Yours

6/9/99.

Rep⁻ put up

AK

22/9/99

Subd

MLJ

22.9.99

EY

(8)

1899-1900

From

The Superintendent of Inam Settlements in Mysore, Bangalore.

1848

Pet. 98

To

The ~~Chief~~ Secretary to the Government of Mysore. Revenue and Revenue Department.

Dated 19th September 1899.

Despatched 20th

Received

Enclosure No. one file

No. 24 of 1899-1900.

In reply to his letter No. 22-92 Pet. 255 dated 2nd instant, I have the honour to state that from the Settlement papers, it appears that the Nunicami alluded to in the letter under reply, was the rehak of Kasinirvanaswami temple in Kathpra village, having got Dalak, who filed a statement at the time of Inam Settlement.

The enclosures of the letter under reply are herewith returned.

Supt of Inam Settlements

L R

No 295 of 1896

To

20.9.99

No. 1852 / IV

Dated 24-8-1901

From

To

Subject

Reference

Received No. 2915 Dated 1901

Signature



15/8/07

(10)

97

Receipt of petition from Lodia Kachak Kapadia and Cheshwara Swami temple at Kosha, Bardoli Taluka, requesting that the case pending in the Court of Joint Civil Judge, Senior Division, Bardoli be withdrawn and the petitioners be allowed to file a suit in the Court of Civil Judge, Senior Division, Surat for declaration that the Trustees of the Cheshwara Swami temple are entitled to manage the temple and for injunction restraining the defendants from interfering with the management of the temple.

R-R
5-6-1976
9

(2) Letter No. 63-1/1112 dated 23-6-76 from the Dy. Collector, Bardoli, requesting that the case known as Lodia Kachak Kapadia vs. Cheshwara Swami temple and others pending in the Court of Joint Civil Judge, Senior Division, Bardoli be withdrawn so as to enable the petitioners to file a suit in the Court of Civil Judge, Senior Division, Surat for declaration that the trustees of the temple are entitled to manage the temple and for injunction restraining the defendants from interfering with the management of the temple. That the cause of action for the suit arose when the defendants refused to hand over the management of the temple to the petitioners

and forest out for cultivation - that out
of Survey Nos. 129, 130, 218 were sold
and have been in the hands of purchasers
and that the remaining two Nos. are
also sold but have not yet been
disposed.

(3) The Superintendent Revenue Survey
& Inam Settlement & the Collector
by the Superintendent of Survey Settlement
and Records of the area.

Orders thereon :- No. 423 dt. 24.8.01.
dt. 18.8.01

Sent direct that if the sale of the
remaining two numbers has not
been confirmed they may be left
with the Collector for disposal.

Dft
C.

Jr Secy.
21/8/01

To
The Supt. Survey Settlement &c.
with records.